



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/494,240 | 01/30/2000 | Bill J. Pope | 6056P | 3185 |
| 7 | 7590 08/29/2003 | | , | |
| Daniel P McCarthy PARSONS, BEHLE, & LATIMER 201 SOUTH MAIN STREET, SUITE 1800 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | PELLEGRINO, BRIAN E | |
| P.O. BOX 458898 Salt Lake City, UT 84145-0898 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 3, | , | | 3738 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003 | 99 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/494,240 | POPE ET AL. | | | | |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Brian E Pellegrino | 3738 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh | cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR R | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no | | | | | | |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dnave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nsion and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in | e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE: | eling a corresponding number of | finally rejected claims. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje | | | | | | |
| 1. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | > | | | | |
| | CORRINE M | CDERMOTT | | | | |
| | SUPERVISORY PA TECHNOLOGY | TENT EXAMINER | | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicants' argument that the references cannot be combined because they are nonanalogous is not persuasive. It has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See In re Oetiker, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, one of ordinary skill in the art would look to Frushour because the reference is broad enough in its application of how diamond-to-diamond bonds can be strengthened. Frushour teaches that the attachment area between the polycrystalline diamond and its substrate is increased and this provides a more uniform stress gradient. It is also noted that Applicants' argue that the the surface irregularities are different than the transition zone, but claims the same features as Frushour in claims 93 and 100. Therefore, it is the Examiner's position that the references do establish a prima facie case of obviousness and again to reiterate, the motivation to combine is to improve and provide a stronger, more uniformly distributed wear surface by using the sintered process forming chemical bonds taught by Frushour.